

# Correction of High-Frequency Noise-Temperature Inaccuracies

C. T. Stelzried

TDA Technology Development Section

*Deep-space mission data rates to Earth are limited by the system operating noise-temperature ( $T_{op}$ ) performance of the DSN. This article addresses some of the techniques and definitions used for measuring and reporting the effective noise temperature of receivers ( $T_e$ ) and  $T_{op}$  of the DSN's ground receiving systems. Calibration loads are used to measure  $T_{op}$  of the DSN antennas. At 32 GHz, a calibration load cooled to 2-K physical temperature requires a correction of 0.67 K to determine the noise temperature. Using corrected noise temperature for the calibration loads results in the correct values for  $T_{op}$  such that the total system noise power can be defined by  $P_n = kT_{op} B$ , as required for DSN telecommunications design control tables.  $T_{op}$  and  $T_e$  should not be converted to equivalent physical temperatures.*

## I. Introduction

System operating noise temperature ( $T_{op}$ ) is very important to the DSN; deep-space mission data rates to Earth are limited by the DSN's  $T_{op}$  performance. The DSN uses design control tables to document parameters of the spacecraft-to-ground end-to-end telecommunications system. A key parameter affecting the data quality is the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the signal received by the DSN. The received SNR is proportional to DSN antenna gain divided by the system operating noise temperature ( $G/T_{op}$ ).

This article addresses some of the techniques and definitions used for measuring and reporting the effective

noise temperature of receivers ( $T_e$ ) and  $T_{op}$  of the DSN's ground receiving systems. Proper evaluation of the noise-temperature performance of high-frequency, low-noise amplifiers (LNA's), such as Ka-band (32-GHz) masers currently under development in the DSN Advanced Systems Program, requires the use of frequency-dependent corrections for the noise power available from the calibration loads. At 32 GHz, a calibration load cooled to 2 K has an available noise power equivalent to 1.33 K; a correction of 0.67 K is needed.

An analysis for optimizing the testing configuration for LNA noise temperature is provided in [1]; frequency-dependent corrections are not used. Frequency-dependent

corrections for the calibration loads are required for accurate and correct evaluation of the measured noise temperatures  $T_e$  and  $T_{op}$ . Using calibration loads with frequency-dependent corrections results in the proper measured and reportable values for  $T_e$  and  $T_{op}$ . No further correction is needed. These corrected values for  $T_e$  and  $T_{op}$  are the values needed for the DSN telecommunications-link design control tables, as tabulated from data in the *DSN/Flight Project Interface Design Handbook*.<sup>1</sup>

The values of  $T_e$  and  $T_{op}$  should not be converted to equivalent physical temperatures for DSN applications.

## II. Analysis

The purpose of the following is to apply frequency-dependent corrections to calibration loads used for LNA and system noise-temperature measurements and to clarify the results for application in the DSN. The available noise power,  $P_n$ , from a load [2] is given by

$$P_n = \frac{hfB}{e^{hf/kT} - 1} \quad (1)$$

where

$h$  = Planck's constant =  $6.6262 \times 10^{-34}$  J

$k$  = Boltzmann's constant =  $1.3806 \times 10^{-23}$  J/K

$f$  = operating frequency, Hz

$T$  = physical temperature, K

$B$  = bandwidth, Hz

$hf/k$  =  $0.0480f$ , GHz

For  $(hf/kT) \ll 1$

$$P_n = kTB \quad (2)$$

Equation (2) can be used to accurately determine the noise power available from calibration loads at any frequency by substituting an equivalent noise temperature,  $T_n$ , for the physical temperature,  $T$ . With this substitution and Eq. (1)

$$T_n = \frac{hf/k}{e^{hf/kT} - 1} \quad (3)$$

The value of  $T_n$  approaches  $T$  as  $f/T$  approaches 0, and  $T_n = T$  in the limit, when  $f/T = 0$ . For calibration loads at physical temperatures above 1 K and frequencies below 1 MHz, letting  $T_n = T$  results in an error of less than 0.000024 K. At 32 GHz, a calibration load cooled to 2 K has an available noise power equivalent to 1.33 K, i.e., a correction ( $T_c$ ) of 0.67 K is needed.

Rewriting Eq. (3) in terms of the physical temperature,  $T$ , and the correction,  $T_c$ ,

$$T_n = T - T_c \quad (3a)$$

where

$$T_c = T - \frac{hf/k}{e^{hf/kT} - 1} \quad (3b)$$

where  $T_c$  = a frequency-dependent correction term to physical temperature to obtain the equivalent noise temperature of a calibration load, K.

The frequency-dependent correction term,  $T_c$ , is small below 10 GHz and is significant at 32 GHz and above, as shown below. Without this correction, calibration loads would generate infinite power over the entire frequency spectrum, according to Eq. (2).

Either Eq. (3) or (3a) can be used to obtain the corrected equivalent noise temperature of calibration loads. As shown in Table 1, for a fixed microwave frequency,  $T_c$  can be treated as a constant over a wide range of temperatures above 2 K with small error. By measuring  $T$ , the physical temperature, and subtracting  $T_c$ , treated as a constant, Eq. (3a) is useful for obtaining the equivalent noise temperature,  $T_n$ . This provides better than 0.0001-K accuracy for ambient calibration loads, such as used in the DSN at the present S- (2.295-GHz), X- (8.42-GHz), and future Ka-band microwave frequencies over the range of expected ambient temperatures. Note that  $T_c$  cannot be treated as a constant with operational frequency changes.

Other important corrections for calibration loads not discussed here, such as mismatch, must be accounted for. Minimizing mismatch is important for ambient-temperature calibration loads [3]. Receiver nonlinearities

<sup>1</sup> *DSN/Flight Project Interface Design Handbook*, Vol. I, 810-5, Rev. D (internal document), Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, California), August 1, 1992.

[4] can give large errors. Using Eq. (2) with the equivalent corrected noise temperature results in

$$Pn = kTnB \quad (4)$$

Use of the corrected equivalent noise temperature is appropriate for telecommunications design control tables, such as used in the DSN [5].

Calibration of the corrected equivalent noise temperature of an LNA using a load with physical  $T$  and a noise source (usually a noise diode connected to the LNA through a directional coupler between the LNA and the load) with temperature  $TND$ , all referred to the amplifier input, requires solution of

$$Te = \frac{TND}{Y - 1} - Tn \quad (5)$$

where

$Y$  = power ratio at the output of follow-up amplifiers with the noise source turned on and off

$TND$  = noise source excess noise at amplifier input, K

$Tn$  = equivalent noise temperature of source at physical temperature  $T$ , K

Measurement configurations using cooled attenuators located between the load and the LNA are evaluated using Eq. (5) by analyzing an equivalent  $TND$  and  $Tn$  defined at the LNA input. Similarly, using two calibration loads and a cooled attenuator requires the evaluation of corrected equivalent noise temperatures  $T1$  and  $T2$  for the loads, defined at the LNA input, and the solution of

$$Te = \frac{T2 - T1Y}{Y - 1} \quad (6)$$

where  $Y$  = power ratio obtained at output of follow-up amplifiers switching between  $T1$  and  $T2$ .

The value  $Te$ , as measured with Eqs. (5) and (6), contains the follow-up amplifier noise temperature  $Tf$ . The LNA noise temperature,  $TLNA$ , requires the correction

$$TLNA = Te - Tf \quad (7)$$

For most system applications, especially when the first amplifier has more than a 30-dB gain,  $Tf$  is small compared

with  $Te$ . For system applications,  $Te$  is the significant parameter.

### III. Results

Equations (5) and (6) have been programmed in Supercalc 4. Assuming the load and noise source are separated from the amplifier by an attenuator with loss  $L$  at physical temperature  $Tp$ , Figs. 1 and 2 show the solutions using Eq. (5). Using Eq. (6), Figs. 3 and 4 assume the loads are separated from the amplifier by the attenuator. The values  $TND$ ,  $L$ ,  $Tp$ ,  $T1$ ,  $T2$ , and  $Y$  are considered known. Equation (3) is used to correct  $T1$ ,  $T2$ , and  $TL$  for frequency. The equation  $TL = Tp(1 - 1/L)$  represents the attenuator noise-temperature contribution. The Eq. (3) correction is applied to  $Tp$ , not  $TL$ .

For the purposes of this article,  $f = 0.001$  GHz is used as the dc ( $f = 0$ ) case. The results shown in Fig. 1, at dc, assume  $Y = 2.1136$ , appropriate for  $Te = 4.0$  K and the other input parameters assumed and used in [2]. Figure 2 shows the result of operating at  $f = 32$  GHz with all other inputs unchanged. The errors in  $Te$  due to various parameter changes are virtually unchanged with frequency and also agree with [2] (Fig. 3 for  $L = 20$  dB). However,  $Te$  increases from 4.00 to 4.67 K at  $f = 32$  GHz relative to dc. Figures 3 and 4 have similar results, with  $Te$  increasing from 4.00 K at dc to 4.68 K at 32 GHz.

### IV. Conclusion

Using loads with corrected equivalent noise temperatures results in the proper value for the amplifier noise temperature,  $Te$ . The value  $Te$  in this case is the equivalent noise temperature, not the physical temperature. From Eq. (3), the physical temperature is given by

$$T = \frac{hf/k}{\ln((hf/kTn) + 1)} \quad (8)$$

Equation (8) is useful for converting a measured noise temperature,  $Tn$ , to a physical temperature,  $T$ . An example of this is using  $T$ , the physical or thermodynamic temperature, for reporting the cosmic background radiation temperature. The cosmic physical or thermodynamic temperature obtained with Eq. (8) after measuring the noise temperature is independent of frequency.

The physical or thermodynamic temperature is not appropriate for reporting measurements of amplifier noise

temperature,  $T_e$ , for such purposes as the DSN telecommunications-link design tables. In addition, quantum noise is inherent to low-noise amplifiers and is included in the measured value.

System operating noise temperature,  $T_{op}$ , in the DSN is typically evaluated using an ambient load [4] and a previously measured  $T_e$  ( $T_e$  is equivalent noise temperature, not the physical temperature). Application of Eq. (3) to the ambient load physical temperature provides the needed frequency-dependent correction. This correction is small

at S- and X-bands presently used in the DSN ( $T$  reduced by 0.2 K at 8.4 GHz for a 300-K load) but is important for future Ka-band operation ( $T$  reduced by 0.77 K at 32 GHz for a 300-K load).

This article provides an overall consistent approach using corrected equivalent noise temperatures for both  $T_e$  for the LNA and  $T_{op}$  for the system, as obtained using calibration loads. The DSN telecommunications-link design control tables should use the simplified Eq. (4) for noise power.

## Acknowledgments

Extensive discussions with R. Clauss are appreciated for this article. M. Sue verified that the DSN telecommunications-link design tables compute noise power using Eq. (4) with an equivalent noise temperature  $T_n$ , consistent with this article.

## References

- [1] C. Stelzried, "Minimizing Noise-Temperature Measurement Errors," *TDA Progress Report 42-111*, vol. July–September 1992, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, California, pp. 254–268, November 15, 1992.
- [2] C. Stelzried, *The Deep Space Network—Noise Temperature Concepts, Measurements, and Performance*, JPL Publication 82-33, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, California, September 15, 1982.
- [3] C. Stelzried, "Operating Noise-Temperature Calibrations of Low-Noise Receiving Systems," *Microwave Journal*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 41–47, June 1971.
- [4] C. Stelzried, "Non-Linearity in Measurement Systems: Evaluation Method and Application to Microwave Radiometers," *TDA Progress Report 42-91*, vol. July–September 1987, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, California, p. 57, November 15, 1987.
- [5] J. Yuen, *Deep Space Telecommunications Systems Engineering*, New York: Plenum Press, p. 12, 1983.

**Table 1. Examples of errors in treating  $T_c$  as a constant with changes of frequency,  $f$ , and the calibration load physical temperature,  $T$ .**

$f$ , GHz	$T$ , K	$T_c$ , K	Error in $T_c$ , K due to 10-percent change in $T$
8	2	0.19	0.0006
8	80	0.19	<0.0001
8	300	0.19	<0.0001
32	2	0.67	0.0087
32	80	0.77	0.0002
32	300	0.77	<0.0001

INPUT -----						
T=	300	TND=	1000	Tp=	2	f,GHz= .001
DT=	.1	DTND=	50	DTp=	.1	Y= 2.1136
DYLD, A=	.01	DLDB, A=	.01	B, MHZ=	50	DYG= .01
DYLD, B=	.01	DLDB, B=	.03	T, SEC=	1	L= 100
RESULTS -----"Te error (DTe)-----						
DL	.78622	DTp	.09900	DYG	.328408	
DT	.00100	DYL	.16455	SUM	1.83299	
DTND	.44899	DYN	.00482	RMS	.982087	
CALCULATIONS -----						
L, DB=	20	Y, DB=	3.2502	DYN=	.00014	hf/k= .000048
NOMINAL		DELTA CALCULATIONS -----				
		L+DL, DB=	T+DT=	TN+DTN		
		20.61	300.1	1050		
		L+DL=				
		115.08				
TL=	1.98	1.9826	1.98	1.98		
TLn=	1.9800	1.9826	1.9800	1.97998		
TnR=	3.0000	2.6069	3.0010	3.00000		
T=	4.9800	4.5895	4.9810	4.97998		
TND=	10	8.6896	10	10.5		
Te=	3.9999	3.2137	3.9989	4.44890		
DELTA CALCULATIONS, CONT -----						
Tp+DTp=		Y+DYL, DB=	Y(1+2*DYN)=	Y(1+2*DYG)=		
2.1		3.2927	2.1142	2.15587		
		Y+DY=				
		2.1344				
TL=	2.079	1.98	1.98	1.98		
TLn=	2.0790	1.9800	1.9800	1.97998		
TnR=	3.0000	3.0000	3.0000	3.00000		
T=	5.0790	4.9800	4.9800	4.97998		
TND=	10	10	10	10		
Te=	3.9009	3.8354	3.9951	3.67150		
DEFINITIONS						
Te=LNA NOISE TEMP			Tp=PHY TEMP OF L			
L=ATTEN LOSS			DTp=DELTA Tp			
DL=DELTA L			TND=NOISE DIODE			
TL=TEMP CONTR OF L			DTND=DELTA NOISE DIODE			
Y=Y FACTOR			T=LOAD TEMP			
DYL=DELTA Y FACTOR, LINEARITY			DT=DELTA T			
DYN=DELTA Y, RADIOMETER NOISE (T,B)			Tn=T CORRECTED FOR FREQ			
DYG=DELTA Y, RADIOMETER GAIN DELTA G			TnR=Tn AT REF			

Fig. 1. Supercalc 4 computer program NOISE2ND printout of the measured noise temperature and errors of a low-noise amplifier using a load, noise diode, and cooled attenuator at 0.001 GHz (dc).

INPUT -----						
T=	300	TND=	1000	Tp=	2	f,GHz= 32
DT=	.1	DTND=	50	DTp=	.1	Y= 2.1136
DYLD,B,A=	.01	DLDB,A=	.01	B,MHZ=	50	DYG= .01
DYLD,B=B=	.01	DLDB,B=	.03	T,SEC=	1	L= 100
RESULTS -----"Te error (DTe) -----						
DL	.78635	DTp	.10794	DYG	.328408	
DT	.00100	DYL	.16455	SUM	1.84206	
DTND	.44899	DYN	.00482	RMS	.983130	
CALCULATIONS -----						
L,DB=	20	Y,DB=	3.2502	DYN=	.00014	hf/k= 1.536
NOMINAL	DELTA CALCULATIONS -----					
	L+DL,DB=	T+DT=	TN+DTN			
	20.61	300.1	1050			
	L+DL=					
	115.08					
Tpn=	1.3294	1.3294	1.3294	1.32935		
TLn=	1.3161	1.3178	1.3161	1.31606		
TnR=	2.9923	2.6002	2.9933	2.99233		
T=	4.3084	3.9180	4.3094	4.30838		
TND=	10	8.6896	10	10.5		
Te=	4.6715	3.8852	4.6705	5.12050		
DELTA CALCULATIONS,CONT -----						
Tp+DTp=	Y+DYL,DB=	Y(1+2*DYN)=	Y(1+2*DYG)=			
2.1	3.2927	2.1142	2.15587			
	Y+DY=					
	2.1344					
Tpn=	1.4384	1.3294	1.3294	1.32935		
TLn=	1.4240	1.3161	1.3161	1.31606		
TnR=	2.9923	2.9923	2.9923	2.99233		
T=	4.4163	4.3084	4.3084	4.30838		
TND=	10	10	10	10		
Te=	4.5636	4.5070	4.6667	4.34309		
DEFINITIONS				Tpn=Tp CORRECTED FOR FREQ		
Te=LNA NOISE TEMP				Tp=PHY TEMP OF L		
L=ATTEN LOSS				DTp=DELTA Tp		
DL=DELTA L				TND=NOISE DIODE		
TL=TEMP CONTR OF L				DTND=DELTA NOISE DIODE		
Y=Y FACTOR				T=LOAD TEMP		
DYL=DELTA Y FACTOR,LINEARITY				DT=DELTA T		
DYN=DELTA Y, RADIOMETER NOISE (T,B)				Tn=T CORRECTED FOR FREQ		
DYG=DELTA Y, RADIOMETER GAIN DELTA G				TnR=Tn AT REF		

Fig. 2. Supercalc 4 computer program NOISE2ND printout of the measured noise temperature and errors of a low-noise amplifier using a load, noise diode, and cooled attenuator at 32 GHz.

INPUT -----									
T2=	300	T1=	80	Tp=	2	f,Ghz=	.001		
DT2=	.1	DT1=	1	DTp=	.01	Y=	2.5942		
DYLD, A=	.01	DLDB, A=	.01	B,MHZ=	50	DYG=	.01		
DYLD, B=	.01	DLDB, B=	.03	T,SEC=	1	L=	10		
RESULTS ----- TE error (DTE) -----									
DL	.41335	DTP	.00900	DYG	.434972				
DT2	.00627	DYL	.26228	SUM	1.29495				
DT1	.16273	DYN	.00635	RMS	.674902				
CALCULATIONS -----									
L,DB=	10	Y,DB=	4.1400	DYN=	.00014	hf/k=	.000048		
NOMINAL		DELTA CALCULATIONS							
		L+DL,DB=	T2+DT2=	T1+DT1=					
		10.31	300.1	81					
		L+DL=							
		10.740							
TL=	1.8	1.8138	1.8	1.8					
TLn=	1.8000	1.8138	1.8000	1.79998					
T2nR=	30.000	27.933	30.010	30.0000					
T2	31.800	29.747	31.810	31.8000					
T1nR=	8.0000	7.4489	8.0000	8.10000					
T1	9.8000	9.2626	9.8000	9.89997					
Te=	4.0001	3.5867	4.0063	3.83732					
DELTA CALCULATIONS, CONT -----									
Tp+DTp=	Y+DYL,DB=	Y(1+2*DYN)=	Y(1+2*DYG)=						
2.01	4.1914	2.5949	2.64608						
	Y+DY=								
	2.6251								
TL=	1.809	1.8	1.8	1.8					
TLn=	1.8090	1.8000	1.8000	1.79998					
T2nR=	30.000	30.000	30.000	30.0000					
T2	31.809	31.800	31.800	31.8000					
T1nR=	8.0000	8.0000	8.0000	8.00000					
T1	9.8090	9.8000	9.8000	9.79997					
Te=	3.9911	3.7378	3.9937	3.56508					
DEFINITIONS									
Te=LNA NOISE TEMP				Tp=PHY TEMP OF L					
L=ATTEN LOSS				DTp=DELTA Tp					
DL=DELTA L				T1=COLD LOAD TEMP					
TL=TEMP CONTR OF L				DT1=DELTA T1					
Y=Y FACTOR				T2=HOT LOAD TEMP					
DYL=DELTA Y FACTOR, LINEARITY				DT2=DELTA T2					
DYN=DELTA Y, RADIOMETER NOISE (T,B)				Tn=T CORRECTED FOR FREQ					
DYG=DELTA Y, RADIOMETER GAIN DELTA G				TnR=Tn AT REF					

Fig. 3. Supercalc 4 computer program NOISE2LD printout of the measured noise temperature and errors of a low-noise amplifier using two loads and a cooled attenuator at 0.001 GHz (dc).



INPUT -----						
T2=	300	T1=	80	Tp=	2	f,Ghz= 32
DT2=	.1	DT1=	1	DTp=	.01	Y= 2.5942
DYLD, A=	.01	DLDB, A=	.01	B, MHZ=	50	DYG= .01
DYLD, B=	.01	DLDB, B=	.03	T, SEC=	1	L= 10
RESULTS ----- TE error (DTE) -----						
DL	.41400	DTP	.00857	DYG	.434968	
DT2	.00627	DYL	.26228	SUM	1.29516	
DT1	.16272	DYN	.00635	RMS	.675288	
CALCULATIONS -----						
L, DB=	10	Y, DB=	4.1400	DYN=	.00014	hf/k= 1.536
NOMINAL		DELTA CALCULATIONS -----				
		L+DL, DB=	T2+DT2=	T1+DT1=		
		10.31	300.1	81		
		L+DL=				
		10.740				
Tpn=	1.3294	1.3294	1.3294	1.32935		
TLn=	1.1964	1.2056	1.1964	1.19642		
T2nR=	29.923	27.862	29.933	29.9233		
T2=	31.120	29.067	31.130	31.1197		
T1nR=	7.9234	7.3776	7.9234	8.02344		
T1=	9.1199	8.5832	9.1199	9.21986		
Te=	4.6801	4.2660	4.6863	4.51733		
DELTA CALCULATIONS, CONT -----						
Tp+DTp=		Y+DYL, DB=	Y(1+2*DYN)=	Y(1+2*DYG)=		
2.01		4.1914	2.5949	2.64608		
		Y+DY=				
		2.6251				
Tpn=	1.3389	1.3294	1.3294	1.32935		
TLn=	1.2050	1.1964	1.1964	1.19642		
T2nR=	29.923	29.923	29.923	29.9233		
T2=	31.128	31.120	31.120	31.1197		
T1nR=	7.9234	7.9234	7.9234	7.92345		
T1=	9.1284	9.1199	9.1199	9.11986		
Te=	4.6715	4.4178	4.6737	4.24508		
DEFINITIONS			Tpn=Tp CORRECTED FOR FREQ			
Te=LNA NOISE TEMP			Tp=PHY TEMP OF L			
L=ATTEN LOSS			DTp=DELTA Tp			
DL=DELTA L			T1=COLD LOAD TEMP			
TL=TEMP CONTR OF L			DT1=DELTA T1			
Y=Y FACTOR			T2=HOT LOAD TEMP			
DYL=DELTA Y FACTOR, LINEARITY			DT2=DELTA T2			
DYN=DELTA Y, RADIOMETER NOISE (T, B)			Tn=T CORRECTED FOR FREQ			
DYG=DELTA Y, RADIOMETER GAIN DELTA G			TnR=Tn AT REF			

Fig. 4. Supercalc 4 computer program NOISE2LD printout of the measured noise temperature and errors of a low-noise amplifier using two loads and a cooled attenuator at 32 GHz.